Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/582,779	POMPEJUS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Guzo	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>	
(1) <u>David Guzo</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Daniel S. Kim</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 October 2004	Time: <u>11:15 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)	
Part i.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 10 and 12		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Applicants' representative gave approval for an examiner's amortialisms	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT V endment to claims 10 and 12 in	VAS DISCUSSED: order to improve the clarity of the
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	record of the substance of	ritten summary of the substance
David Tung		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)